# Safe and Secure:

A Path Toward Safer Buildings and Stronger Communities for Indianapolis





With more than 50 years of experience in the private security industry, SEIU is the nation's largest union of security officers, representing more than 35,000 security professionals nationwide.

SEIU members protect office buildings, apartments, stadiums, arenas and other facilities in Boston, New York City, Philadelphia, Washington, D.C., Atlanta, Chicago, Minneapolis, Denver, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Oakland, Sacramento and Seattle.

Working in partnership with security contractors and their clients, SEIU has developed a marketwide approach to raising security industry standards that allows contractors to compete on the basis of reliability and quality, rather than on having to cut corners on training, eliminate benefits and make drastic wage cuts in order to stay competitive. Our approach guarantees officers the freedom to form a union without putting security contractors or their clients at a competitive disadvantage.

By improving jobs, strengthening training and toughening legislative standards, we are helping to create the good jobs our communities need and to guarantee the quality security services that clients rely on.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Executive Summary   | 1  |
|---|----|
| I. Security Officers: First Responders Protecting People and Property               | 2  |
| II. Irresponsible Contractors Mean an Inexperienced, Ill-trained Security Workforce | 3  |
| Low Wages, Lack of Benefits   | 4  |
| High Turnover   | 5  |
| Inadequate Training   | 6  |
| Low Standards Lead to Dangerous and Costly Mistakes—Right Here in Indiana           | 8  |
| III. Investing in Reliable Union Security Services Benefits Clients and the Public  | 9  |
| Experienced Union Security Officers Stay on the Job                                 | 9  |
| Improved Employee Retention Boosts Security—and Your Bottom Line                    | 10 |
| Employer/Union Training Programs Result in High Performance                         | 10 |
| Security Officer Unions are Effective Security Partners                             | 12 |
| Union Partnerships Bring Stable and Harmonious Labor Relations                      | 13 |
| IV. A Path Toward a Stable, Professional and Prepared Security Workforce 1          | 13 |
| State and Local Regulation  | 13 |
| Labor-Management Collaboration  | 14 |
| Recommendations: Steps You Can Take Now to Raise Security Standards                 | 14 |
| Appendix A  | 15 |
| Appendix B  | 17 |

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The private security industry is large, fast-growing, and global. Unfortunately, it is also plagued by low wages, high turnover, poor training and lax oversight—all of which put the public, security officers and the sites they guard, at risk.

Security officers are our first line of defense, protecting millions of lives and billions of dollars worth of property. They protect high-rise office buildings, banks, airports, stadiums, nuclear power plants, and pharmaceutical laboratories. Yet the investment that security contractors make in the wages, benefits and training of the men and women responsible for keeping us safe does not reflect the value of that work. Low wages coupled with a lack of benefits and training make it extremely difficult to retain good officers who strive to be professionals that serve and protect the public good.

Because their role is so vital to public safety, all efforts should be made to prepare security officers for emergencies, but the private security industry lacks regulation and standards. Indiana is one of several states with no training, education, experience or criminal background regulations for security officers. Without these standards, irresponsible security contractors are threatening our safety.

Fortunately, security officers, security companies and clients have an opportunity to work together to create a path toward a more professional and prepared security workforce. For years, SEIU has worked in partnership with contractors and their clients to raise standards for wages, benefits and training in a way that allows contractors to compete on the basis of reliability, rather than reduced wages and benefits.

### When companies invest in reliable union security services, everyone benefits:

- Experienced union security officers stay on the job and are better prepared to respond to problems.
- Decreased turnover improves security and saves on recruitment and training costs.
- Employer/Union training programs result in high performance.
- Working together, unions, the security industry and clients can raise industry standards.
- Union partnerships bring stable and harmonious labor relations.

By making sure to hire responsible security contractors, you can take steps now to decrease turnover, professionalize the industry and help make Indianapolis a safer place to live, work and do business.

### **Security Facts**

-Private security is one of the fastest growing occupations in the U.S.

-There are more than a million security officers working in the U.S. today -far outnumbering police officers.<sup>1</sup>

-With 13,000 private companies operating, guard and patrol services is the largest segment of the industry.<sup>2</sup>

-Analysts estimate that demand for private security services will continue to grow at 5% a year through 2012.<sup>3</sup>

### **SECURITY OFFICERS: FIRST RESPONDERS PROTECTING PEOPLE AND PROPERTY**

Security officers stand on the front lines every day across the country to keep people and property safe. They are the first responders during catastrophes and they work hard to prevent situations from becoming emergencies in the first place. They protect high-rise office buildings, banks, airports, warehouses, stadiums, public libraries and groceries stores.

#### On any given day, a security officer might be asked to:

- Identify, question, and detain suspicious individuals.
- Assist in the event of a medical emergency.
- Assist and guide visitors, prevent unauthorized access to the facility, and/or check identification cards.
- Evacuate the building in case of a fire, bomb threat, or other emergency.
- Monitor loading docks, parking lots, elevators, and spaces where potential threats can linger.
- Work and communicate with local law enforcement agencies.
- Intervene in disputes between individuals if they become violent.
- Deter theft and apprehend thieves.
- Safeguard tenants or customers and initiate safety procedures before police and firefighters arrive on the scene in the event of an emergency.
- Use their intimate knowledge of the property they protect to help public safety officials properly respond to emergencies.

**The Department of Homeland Security** estimates that a whopping 85% of the nation's critical infrastructure—including drinking water reservoirs, oil and gas refineries, ports, bus and rail commuter terminals, nuclear power plants, chemical plants, food supplies, hospitals and communications networks—is protected by security officers with private security companies.<sup>4</sup>

Right here in Indiana, where the pharmaceutical industry ranks fifth in the nation, there are numerous sensitive facilities.<sup>5</sup> Strong security at laboratories, storage and office facilities of Eli Lilly, Biomet, Roche Diagnostics and Zimmer is critical in order to safeguard the public and property. Theft in the pharmaceutical sector is on the rise. Last year, \$184 million in drugs were stolen, up from \$41 million in 2007. Earlier this year, \$75 million worth of antidepressant and antipsychotic drugs were stolen from an Eli Lilly warehouse in Connecticut.<sup>6</sup>

"The police and the building service workers are natural allies. Both work around the clock, both are in the business of protecting people."

-Raymond W. Kelly New York City Police Commissioner



### Security Lapse at Nuclear Power Plants

In September 2007, the nation received a wake-up call after security officers who work for Wackenhuta private security contractor charged with protecting nuclear power plants—were found asleep on the job at the Peach **Bottom Nuclear Generating** Station in Pennsylvania. The security lapse was attributed to officers working excessive overtime and regular 12-hour shifts. The incident triggered a series of events that led to Wackenhut losing contracts at all of Exelon's U.S. nuclear power plants.

### IRRESPONSIBLE CONTRACTORS MEAN AN INEXPERIENCED, ILL-TRAINED SECURITY WORKFORCE

Security officers are our first line of defense. Yet the investment that security contractors make in the wages, benefits and training of the men and women responsible for keeping us safe does not reflect the value of that work. Low wages coupled with a lack of benefits and training make it extremely difficult to retain good officers who strive to be professionals that serve and protect the public good.

Without uniform standards, unsafe and irresponsible companies leave clients and the public vulnerable. And in an economic environment in which many companies are seeking to cut costs, security directors may feel pressure to hire contractors who compete on price alone—rather than the quality, effectiveness and reliability of services.



"I'm 58 years old and I remember a time when one person could support a family by working one job. That time is gone.

"I've worked in security for 27 years and in the last five I've had the same pay—\$10.50 an hour. I live paycheck to paycheck and I get most of my healthcare through the Veterans Administration."

—Hugh Abel Indianapolis Security Officer

#### IN OUR OWN WORDS: Mary Ann Harris

Chicago security officer Mary Ann Harris prevented a disaster in a downtown office building. Fortunately, as an SEIU Local 1 member, Mary Ann had the training she needed to stop an intruder armed with a bomb.

When a man who refused to leave the office said he "had the keys to heaven and earth," Mary Ann knew it was a sign he could have a bomb.

"I called the police to inform them of the bomb threat without the man knowing what I was doing even though he was standing right next to me. The police bomb squad saw batteries and wires in his brief case so they blew it up just outside the building and knocked out three of the windows.

"Unfortunately, many security officers aren't as prepared for emergencies as we think we should be. In some buildings, a onetime evacuation training is all a lot of us get. That's not enough. We have to be trained and we have to have confidence that we know what to do. Otherwise, someone could lose their life."



### Low Wages, Lack of Benefits

Security officers work hard to protect multi-million dollar properties yet are paid so little that they often cannot afford basic necessities for their families. Many officers do not have access to quality, affordable healthcare or even sick days.

According to the Economic Policy Institute, a single parent with one child in Indianapolis would need to make \$32,013 annually to pay for basic expenses.<sup>7</sup> But the 2009 median wage for security officers in Indiana was just \$10.82 an hour or \$22,510 a year—hovering just above the federal poverty level for a family of four. The reality is that many security officers in Indiana are paid even below that wage, since this number includes higher paid armed guards. For example, a security officer at Hewlett Packard is paid \$9.75 an hour or \$20,280 a year.<sup>8</sup> On this wage, security officers struggle to support their families and make ends meet.

### Wages for Security Officers and All Other Occupations, May 2009

| State      | Number of<br>Security<br>Officers | Hourly median<br>wage for security<br>officers | Annual median<br>wage for security<br>officers | Hourly median<br>wage for all<br>occupations | Annual Median<br>Wage for All<br>occupations |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| California | 137,370                           | \$11.61  | \$24,160                                       | \$17.92                                      | \$37,260                                     |
| Illinois   | 45,310                            | \$11.32  | \$23,540                                       | \$16.74                                      | \$34,830                                     |
| Ohio       | 30,650                            | \$11.00  | \$22,870                                       | \$15.30                                      | \$31,830                                     |
| Indiana    | 18,320                            | \$10.82  | \$22,510                                       | \$14.72                                      | \$30,630                                     |

See Appendix A for wages for all states.

#### **Security Facts**

-According to Security Director's Report, even after 13 to 20 weeks on the job, a new officer can only be expected to perform at a 75% productivity level.<sup>11</sup>

-According to Security Director's Report, it can cost an average of \$5,575 to recruit, hire and prepare a new security officer to do his or her job.<sup>12</sup>

-According to Security Services magazine, turnover costs generally range from 25% to 200% of the employee's annual salary.<sup>13</sup>

### **High Turnover**

Low wages, few benefits and inadequate training lead to high turnover in the security industry. An IBIS report found that "many major security firms still use casual and part-time labor. This industry tends to offer low pay and poor working conditions and benefits and suffers from having very high staff turnover." Other analysts also report huge turnover from replacing the entire staff every year, to turnover as high as 600%.<sup>9</sup>

## "High turnover is inherently dangerous in an industry charged with the security and safety of human beings."<sup>10</sup>

—Dr. Michael Goodboe Vice President of training, Wackenhut Training Institute

"Private security officers defend power plants, oil refineries, financial centers, computer systems, dams, malls, railroad lines and other possible terrorism targets. They are responsible for millions of lives and billions of dollars in assets. And they are most likely to be first on the scene in major disasters."

-The Arizona Republic

#### IN OUR OWN WORDS: Donna Grover



Donna Grover, a security officer in Indianapolis, had a major heart attack at work. On a wage of \$8.50 an hour, Donna couldn't afford health insurance. And because she had no sick days, she couldn't even afford to call off work or leave early.

"After I finished my shift, my husband took me to the emergency room. I had a triple bypass surgery where the doctors rebuilt part of my heart. To recover, I took two weeks off work unpaid through the Family Medical Leave Act."

Months later, Donna and her husband received a medical bill for roughly \$137,000.

"When we saw the bill, I thought 'If I paid them ten dollars a week, it would take me two lifetimes to pay off.' I do not want to see anybody go through what I went through. I can't afford to retire, and I am going to have to work until the day I die."

### **Inadequate Training**

The security industry lacks regulation. Some states require security companies and officers to obtain a license, pass examinations and undergo training and background checks. Other states—including Indiana—have no requirements. Many experts agree that security officers are not adequately trained and the industry is not adequately regulated:

- A 2009 IBIS report, Security Services in the U.S. "The industry is largely unregulated, and training, apart from those expected to carry guns, is poor. Minimizing staff turnover and providing quality training is a challenge to industry operators. However, quite often, clients still assign priority to overall contract price, rather than service and availability of trained staff, as being the most important factor in choosing an operator."<sup>14</sup>
- A 2007 Associated Press report, *Private Guards Weak Link in Homeland Security* — "When there are no statewide requirements for training, background checks or other regulations, even the most responsible security company has to cut out expensive training programs to compete with companies who may offer no training. Low wages, few or no benefits and incentives for companies to cut corners can lead to unqualified guards, or the hiring and placement of guards with serious criminal histories."<sup>15</sup>
- A Congressional Research Services report, Guarding America: Security Guards and U.S. Critical Infrastructure Protection — In a survey conducted in 2004 of 125 facilities storing hazardous chemicals, less than two thirds of the officers had participated in emergency response training in the 12 months prior.<sup>16</sup>



### No training is required in Indiana.

- There are no training, education, experience, or criminal background regulations for security officers in Indiana required by state or local law.
- Armed guards are subject to the same firearms regulations as private citizens, but no additional regulations exist and no additional training is required by the state.
- There are no training requirements for security companies in Indiana.

In fact, Indiana receives a failing grade in the *Safe and Secure* Report Card below. The report card is based on a state by state evaluation of laws regulating the security industry conducted by SEIU Local 1.

| State      | Initial Training Hrs<br>Required   | Annual Refresher Training<br>Hrs Required   | Background<br>Check<br>Required? | Requires<br>Licensing of<br>Company | Requires<br>Licensing<br>of Officer | Oversight Board Name   | Grade |
|------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------|
| California | 40   | 8   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Bureau of Security and<br>Investigative Affairs  | A     |
| Illinois   | 28   | 8   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Private Detective, Private<br>Alarm, Private Security,<br>Fingerprint Vendor, and<br>Locksmith Board | A     |
| Ohio       | None for unarmed,<br>Armed must complete<br>training with Ohio<br>POTC approved school | None for unarmed, armed<br>must complete<br>requalification program by<br>Ohio POTC approved school | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Ohio Private Investigation<br>and Security Services<br>Commission                                    | D     |
| Indiana    | None   | None  | NO                               | YES                                 | NO                                  | Private Investigator and<br>Security Guard Licensing<br>Board  | F     |

### 2010 Safe and Secure State Report Card

See Appendix B for the full report card by state.

Ironically, training requirements for security officers—who are responsible for keeping people and property secure—are far less than other state-licensed professionals. In Indiana, nail technicians, bail enforcement agents, and nurse's aides must pass exams to become licensed. Bail enforcement agents are required to pass a criminal history check, but security officers are not.

### Licensing Requirements, Selected Professions, Indiana<sup>17</sup>

| Profession             | Initial Training Required | Exam required? | Background Check<br>Required? |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Nail Technician        | 450                       | Yes            | No                            |
| Nurse's Aide           | 105                       | Yes            | Yes                           |
| Bail Enforcement Agent | 12                        | Yes            | Yes                           |
| Security Officer       | 0                         | No             | No                            |

### Low Standards Lead to Dangerous and Costly Mistakes—Right Here in Indiana

Security officers are charged with resolving a wide range of dangerous and emergency situations. Yet without oversight or proper training, security contractors are inviting serious problems.

### **Excessive Force**

A 2003 complaint filed in the U.S. District Court of Indianapolis alleged that a security contractor at an apartment building failed to "properly supervise, monitor, train and instruct security personnel." The complaint alleged that a resident was returning home when he was detained and arrested by private security officers who used such excessive force – including using pepper spray – that he needed treatment at the hospital. The complaint also alleged that the company had numerous past instances of officer misconduct and yet still failed to correct, instruct or train its employees.<sup>18</sup> This lack of training and oversight puts both the public and the officers themselves at risk.

### **School Evidence Mishandled**

The Marion County Sheriff's office conducted an investigation of a security company charged with protecting a school to determine whether officers mishandled evidence in criminal cases. The Sheriff's office found that the security company stored narcotics and drug related evidence taken from students in a room in a public school.<sup>19</sup> Once a year, officers cleaned out the room simply by throwing the evidence in a school dumpster—jeopardizing student safety.

### Failure to pay for training time

A 2009 class action case for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act filed in Indianapolis alleged that a security company did not pay security officers for training time or overtime.<sup>20</sup> By failing to invest in training and by violating labor laws, this security company threatened public safety and their clients' reputations. The complaint led to a monetary settlement.

### **Security Facts**

-Some 25 states do not require any basic training for security officers whatsoever.

-19 states require no training for even armed security officers.

-11 states do not even require a criminal background check for officers.

-14 additional states require only three days of training and training programs may consist of only reading or lectures as opposed to any on-site education.

#### **Industry Leaders Want to Raise Standards**

Unlike many industries that do not want additional regulation, security associations are pushing for tough regulations and higher standards.

- The American Society for Industrial Security International (ASIS) provides guidelines to improve and standardize the quality of training. Suggestions include a minimum of 48 hours of training within the officers' first 100 days of service and a written or performance-based exam in the licensing process.<sup>21</sup>
- The National Association of Security Companies (NASCO) is working to raise licensing, regulatory and performance standards for private security firms and security officers in certain states. "NASCO is introducing legislation requiring licensing and training for security officers in Mississippi and Colorado," said NASCO Chairman Martin Herman. "The association is involved in training legislation in New York, New Jersey, Ohio and Washington, D.C. NASCO wants states to have level playing fields, and we are lobbying for this around the country."<sup>22</sup>

Unfortunately, while industry leaders know that higher standards are key to safety, the pressure to cut corners on wages, benefits and training in order to compete prevents them from making improvements across the industry.

#### **Security Facts**

-According to Ligouri Associates, a private security firm, since healthcare and other benefits were improved under a 2003 union contract in San Francisco, turnover decreased dramatically among officers.

-Turnover rates in Chicago, where officers receive full family health benefits, are 75% below the national average.<sup>23</sup>

-In New York City, turnover in buildings where officers have formed a union averaged just 5.6% compared to 148% where officers have not formed a union.<sup>24</sup>

### INVESTING IN RELIABLE UNION SECURITY SERVICES BENEFITS CLIENTS AND THE PUBLIC

Savvy companies that have made the decision to invest in reliable union security services have seen five major benefits resulting in enhanced safety and protection, as well as long-term savings.

### 1: Experienced Union Security Officers Stay on the Job

When officers form a union, they have the ability to solve workplace problems, which increases morale and decreases turnover.

Security officers work through their union to win decent wages and fair treatment, which leads to a sense of pride, loyalty and cohesion among officers. When officers are treated as security professionals, both performance and longevity increase—with obvious benefits for clients.

Officers who stay on the job understand their workplaces better and are better prepared to respond quickly and effectively to problems that arise. These officers spend enough time on-site to learn their role thoroughly and build strong relationships with employees and guests. Experienced officers have the confidence of knowing who should be on the premises and who should not. "Incentives keep the guards with us longer ... They themselves become more cautious. They work more closely with the police department; they are more knowledgeable than they were before in what to look for, what stands out, what's not ordinary."<sup>25</sup>

—Linus Armstrong Director of Public Safety for the Business Improvement District Alliance, which implemented a benefit program for officers in New York City.

### 2: Improved Employee Retention Boosts Security—and Your Bottom Line

Retaining an experienced security force lowers recruitment and training costs while also reducing the danger of security breaches by dissatisfied former employees—who may possess keys, pass codes and an inside knowledge of operations.

Hiring responsible contractors who allow their employees the freedom to form a union to win good jobs—including better pay and benefits—is good business. Here's why:

- Employee turnover costs a lot of money. Replacing a worker can cost two to three times as much as retaining one.
- By raising pay, security companies can reduce turnover.
- Higher pay allows companies to attract and retain better security officers, which will enhance a client's reputation for excellent service and create new business.

### **3: Employer/Union Training Programs Result in High Performance**

Combined with better wages and benefits, comprehensive training programs can further reduce turnover and develop the skills of high-performing security professionals.

Rigorous employer/union training programs are especially important in today's lax regulatory environment, which sets few standards for security officers.

For those companies who have made capital investments in security technologies—video monitoring systems, alarm systems, etc.—officer training is critical. Only with capable officers on duty to operate these systems, will capital investments in security pay dividends in greater safety and protection.

#### n New York City. h New York City. h City. h

"Union membership appears linked to lower turnover because unions fight for better wages, benefits and conditions for their members. Yet building owners and operators also benefit from lower costs of not having to pay their contractors for recruiting, training and supervising new hires in a constantly repetitive turnover cycle."

**Security Facts** 

-According to Securitas, the

security provider, after wages were increased from 2000–

2002 among Securitas officers

world's second-largest

—Robert McCrie Criminal Justice Professor, City University of New York

INVESTING IN RELIABLE UNION SECURITY SERVICES BENEFITS CLIENTS AND THE PUBLIC

"Fisher Brothers has long recognized the tremendous importance of a properly trained and professional security staff. While innovative technology and security systems make buildings more secure, there is no substitute for proper training and employment standards."<sup>27</sup>

—Ken Fisher Senior Partner Fisher Brothers is a New York real estate company participating in the NY Safe and Secure program.



#### Case Study: New York Safe and Secure

New York *Safe and Secure* is a comprehensive labor-management training program that prepares officers to meet today's security challenges. This 39-hour program was created by SEIU Local 32BJ in conjunction with the Realty Advisory Board, John Jay College and the Partnership for New York City (a membership organization comprised of 200 CEOs from New York City's top corporate, investment and entrepreneurial firms). An NYPD sergeant with 22 years experience in private security and law enforcement manages the union's Best Practices Training in Security Project, which is focused on commercial office buildings. The program has been such a success that the union, the NYPD and apartment building owners are creating a similar course to improve security at residential buildings as well.

"We are happy to have the opportunity to continue in this innovative and proactive effort to strengthen the city's first responder network. New York Safe & Secure clearly demonstrates the seriousness with which all of us view issues of security and tenant safety."<sup>28</sup>

-James Berg President of the Realty Advisory Board "We are pleased to be among the first building owners to join this important program for ensuring a safer and more secure working environment for thousands of people who occupy our office buildings every day. As owners and as New Yorkers, we are committed to constantly seek improvement in all areas."<sup>29</sup>

#### -Gaston Silva

COO of the Vornado office division Vornado Realty Trust is a New York real estate company participating in New York Safe and Secure

#### Case Study: Chicago's BOMA/SEIU Local 1 Security Officers Training Program

In Chicago, SEIU Local 1 partnered with the Building Owners and Managers Association in 2005 to create a training program for security officers. Courses give officers knowledge and experience in the areas most of value to clients:

- Crime Prevention and Anti-Terrorism, taught by the Chicago Chapter of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Officers learn how to identify and deal with bomb threats, suspicious packages and weapons of mass destruction.
- Security Officer 101, taught by officials from the Chicago Police Department, includes an emphasis on the limitations of security officers and the importance of a professional, productive relationship with local law enforcement professionals.
- Building Evacuation, taught by the Chicago Fire Department. Officers learn the role of a security officer during a building evacuation, as suggested by the city of Chicago protocols for first responders.
- CPR and First-Aid, taught by the American Red Cross. Officers receive hands-on training in the proper techniques of clearing the airway, mouth-to-mouth and administering chest compressions.
- Customer Service, which teaches how to present a more positive image of client property. Instruction includes how to deal professionally with difficult situations and how to use effective communication skills.
- Observation Skills and Report Writing, which teaches the importance of documentation procedures and incident reporting, a critical skill of the security profession. Officers are taught to write concise, accurate descriptions that can be used in follow-up by law enforcement personnel.
- Basic Computer Skills, including using the mouse, creating folders, saving files and drafting a letter using Microsoft Word.

### 4: Security Officer Unions are Effective Security Partners

Security officer unions can be strong allies with the security industry and their clients in raising industry standards.

In 2004, when the Illinois Detective Act (the law governing private security companies) was set to expire, union security officers in Chicago saw an opportunity to professionalize the industry and lobbied for improvements in training. The result was the renewal and expansion of the statute, which now includes some of the highest training standards in the nation. The Detective Act enhanced the state's training, licensing and certification policies for companies and employees in the security industry and allows state agencies to discipline private security firms—including revoking their licenses—if those companies fail to act in the public interest. SEIU has also sponsored successful legislation in California to increase the training requirement for new security officers from three to 40 hours.

By working with elected officials and all security industry stakeholders, security officer unions can help pass legislation that protects clients—and the public.

### **Security Facts**

 In the commercial real estate industry, many building owners and their institutional investors have long known the value of raising standards, union partnerships and harmonious labor relations. **Recognizing the financial** benefits, many have adopted Responsible **Contractor Policies which** apply to security and other building services. Among the largest signatories are the California Public **Employees Retirement** Fund (CalPERS), CIGNA, The **New York State Common Fund and Prudential Real Estate Advisors.** 

## **5: Union Partnerships Bring Stable and Harmonious Labor Relations**

SEIU collective bargaining agreements guarantee harmonious labor relations. Recognizing their role in protecting tenants, property and the public, SEIU security officers, in every contract they have signed, have agreed to stay at their posts and perform their duties, regardless of any labor disputes that may arise involving SEIU or any other union. Every SEIU contract contains language explicitly guaranteeing that officers will not engage in strikes, work stoppages or other actions.

### A PATH TOWARD A STABLE, PROFESSIONAL AND PREPARED SECURITY WORKFORCE

### **State and Local Regulation**

Cities and states across the country are creating their own solutions by passing minimum training and licensing requirements for security companies and officers.

- Kansas City, MO: Requires private security officers to be licensed annually. Officers must pass a written examination issued by the police department and undergo a background check. Armed guards complete additional training that is equivalent to that required for department police officers.<sup>30</sup>
- Colorado Springs, CO: Requires security officers to be licensed, submit fingerprints, undergo a background check, and complete eight hours of basic security training. Armed guards must complete an additional sixteen hours of basic firearms training. The city has its own Security Training Advisory Board to oversee and enforce the training requirements.<sup>31</sup>
- Boston, MA: Requires armed security officers in public places to be licensed by the Boston Police Department as a special officer. The ordinance explains: "Licensure and registration of armed security guards operating openly in public places, where the risk of error and harm to the public is high, is a reasonable mechanism to ensure the security of our community; and, WHEREAS, It is in the best interests of the City, the public, and businesses and employees that hire armed security guards to ensure that those with the armed appearance of authority are properly licensed and registered."<sup>32</sup>

 California: Requires unarmed security officers to obtain a license by undergoing a criminal history background check through both the California Department of Justice and the FBI and completing a 40hour course and pass an exam covering topics such as Terrorism Awareness, Powers to Arrest, Observation & Documentation, Evacuation Procedures and Officer Safety. Armed guards must undergo additional firearms training.<sup>33</sup>

### Labor-Management Collaboration

The New York and Chicago case studies clearly illustrate that security officers and security companies can work together to establish standards for wages, benefits and training. For years, SEIU has worked in partnership with security contractors and their clients to raise industry standards in a way that allows contractors to compete on the basis of reliability and quality, rather than reduced wages and benefits. This market-wide approach guarantees officers the freedom to form a union without putting security contractors or their clients at a competitive disadvantage.

### **Recommendations: Steps You Can** Take Now to Raise Security Standards

Hiring the security contractor that submits the lowest bid can be tempting—especially in today's economic climate—but for the safety of your employees, the security officers and the public, it is important to ensure you are choosing a responsible contractor. The following recommendations will help you create a meaningful process in hiring security contractors:

- Require bidders to complete a responsibility questionnaire about the company's history, financial responsibility, performance history, compliance and business integrity.
- Ensure the contractor provides adequate training to its officers, including initial training and annual refresher trainings.
- Establish a preference for contractors that provide good jobs by paying a living wage, quality, affordable health benefits, and paid sick days. These contractors have lower turnover in general and can provide you with more experienced officers.
- Support legislative efforts to create local and statewide security training standards.

This report is provided by the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 1. SEIU represents more than 35,000 security professionals nationwide making it the largest union of security officers in the country. To find out more about the effort led by security officers to raise industry standards in Indianapolis, visit: www.standforsecurity.org/indianapolis.

### **APPENDIX A:**

### Wages for Security Officers and All Occupations in May 2009, By State

|                      |          | -                 | Annual Median     |              | Annual Median |
|----------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
|                      | -        | -                 | -                 | Wage for All | Wage for All  |
| State                | Officers | Security Officers | Security Officers | Occupations  | Occupations   |
| Alabama              | 14,350   | \$9.30            | \$19,350          | \$13.95      | \$29,020      |
| Alaska               | 2,220    | \$16.03           | \$33,340          | \$19.50      | \$40,570      |
| Arizona              | 24,440   | \$10.94           | \$22,760          | \$15.49      | \$32,220      |
| Arkansas             | 6,380    | \$9.48            | \$19,720          | \$13.18      | \$27,420      |
| California           | 137,370  | \$11.61           | \$24,160          | \$17.92      | \$37,260      |
| Colorado             | 14,790   | \$12.88           | \$26,780          | \$17.44      | \$36,270      |
| Connecticut          | 11,550   | \$12.61           | \$26,220          | \$19.29      | \$40,120      |
| Delaware             | 3,440    | \$11.66           | \$24,260          | \$17.16      | \$35,690      |
| District of Columbia | 12,070   | \$17.65           | \$36,710          | \$27.79      | \$57,810      |
| Florida              | 77,120   | \$10.42           | \$21,670          | \$14.58      | \$30,320      |
| Georgia              | 27,060   | \$10.07           | \$20,940          | \$15.04      | \$31,290      |
| Hawaii               | 10,440   | \$12.07           | \$25,100          | \$16.61      | \$34,560      |
| Idaho                | 2,110    | \$12.69           | \$26,390          | \$14.44      | \$30,030      |
| Illinois             | 45,310   | \$11.32           | \$23,540          | \$16.74      | \$34,830      |
| Indiana              | 18,320   | \$10.82           | \$22,510          | \$14.72      | \$30,630      |
| lowa                 | 6,250    | \$10.55           | \$21,930          | \$14.40      | \$29,940      |
| Kansas               | 5,950    | \$10.96           | \$22,790          | \$14.64      | \$30,440      |
| Kentucky             | 11,210   | \$9.64            | \$20,040          | \$14.38      | \$29,920      |
| Louisiana            | 15,710   | \$10.42           | \$21,670          | \$14.16      | \$29,440      |
| Maine                | 2,030    | \$12.01           | \$24,980          | \$15.01      | \$31,230      |
| Maryland             | 24,780   | \$12.89           | \$26,810          | \$18.77      | \$39,050      |
| Massachusetts        | 21,600   | \$12.83           | \$26,700          | \$19.64      | \$40,840      |
| Michigan             | 23,030   | \$11.04           | \$22,960          | \$16.22      | \$33,740      |
| Minnesota            | 12,130   | \$12.71           | \$26,440          | \$17.14      | \$35,640      |
| Mississippi          | 10,100   | \$9.20            | \$19,140          | \$12.74      | \$26,510      |
| Missouri             | 17,740   | \$11.53           | \$23,980          | \$14.70      | \$30,570      |
| Montana              | 1,880    | \$11.32           | \$23,550          | \$13.65      | \$28,390      |
| Nebraska             | 3,930    | \$11.47           | \$23,860          | \$14.39      | \$29,930      |
| Nevada               | 19,370   | \$12.44           | \$25,870          | \$15.26      | \$31,740      |
| New Hampshire        | 2,190    | \$12.74           | \$26,500          | \$16.36      | \$34,030      |
| New Jersey           | 38,280   | \$12.37           | \$25,720          | \$18.56      | \$38,600      |
| New Mexico           | 7,090    | \$11.50           | \$23,920          | \$14.10      | \$29,340      |
| New York             | 97,590   | \$12.56           | \$26,120          | \$18.49      | \$38,450      |
| North Carolina       | 24,820   | \$10.59           | \$22,020          | \$14.70      | \$30,570      |
| North Dakota         | 1,520    | \$11.14           | \$23,170          | \$14.06      | \$29,250      |
| Ohio                 | 30,650   | \$11.00           | \$22,870          | \$15.30      | \$31,830      |
| Oklahoma             | 10,110   | \$10.69           | \$22,240          | \$13.53      | \$28,150      |

### Wages for Security Officers continued

| State          | Number of<br>Security<br>Officers | Wage for | Annual Median<br>Wage for<br>Security Officers | Wage for All | Annual Median<br>Wage for All<br>Occupations |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--------------|--|
| Oregon         | 7,510                             | \$11.48  | \$23,880                                       | \$16.16      | \$33,610                                     |
| Pennsylvania   | 39,130                            | \$11.69  | \$24,310                                       | \$15.87      | \$33,010                                     |
| Rhode Island   | 2,890                             | \$11.50  | \$23,920                                       | \$16.80      | \$34,940                                     |
| South Carolina | 14,420                            | \$11.13  | \$23,160                                       | \$14.14      | \$29,420                                     |
| South Dakota   | 1,170                             | \$10.52  | \$21,870                                       | \$13.06      | \$27,170                                     |
| Tennessee      | 21,990                            | \$9.88   | \$20,560                                       | \$14.17      | \$29,470                                     |
| Texas          | 76,710                            | \$10.56  | \$21,970                                       | \$14.83      | \$30,850                                     |
| Utah           | 5,970                             | \$11.50  | \$23,930                                       | \$14.79      | \$30,760                                     |
| Vermont        | 1,040                             | \$13.30  | \$27,660                                       | \$15.73      | \$32,720                                     |
| Virginia       | 30,340                            | \$12.13  | \$25,220                                       | \$16.75      | \$34,840                                     |
| Washington     | 15,060                            | \$15.57  | \$32,380                                       | \$18.37      | \$38,210                                     |
| West Virginia  | 5,470                             | \$9.36   | \$19,470                                       | \$12.98      | \$27,000                                     |
| Wisconsin      | 11,370                            | \$11.73  | \$24,390                                       | \$15.56      | \$32,360                                     |
| Wyoming        | 870                               | \$11.81  | \$24,560                                       | \$16.20      | \$33,700                                     |

SOC code 339032, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Annual wages have been calculated by multiplying the hourly mean wage by 2080 hours; where an hourly mean wage is not published the annual wage has been directly calculated from the reported survey data.

SOC code: Standard Occupational Classification code -- see http://www.bls.gov/soc/home.html

### **APPENDIX B:**

### 2010 Safe and Secure State Report Card

| State                   | Initial<br>Training Hrs<br>Required         | Annual Refresher<br>Training Hrs<br>Required | Background<br>Check<br>Required? | Requires<br>Licensing of<br>Company | Requires<br>Licensing of<br>Officer | Oversight Board Name   | Grade |
|-------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------|
| Alaska                  | 48  | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Department of Public Safety,<br>Division of Statewide Services                                       | А     |
| California              | 40  | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Bureau of Security and<br>Investigative Affairs  | A     |
| District of<br>Columbia | 40  | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Metro Police   | A     |
| Georgia                 | 24 unarmed,<br>additional 15<br>hours armed | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Georgia Board of Private<br>Detective and Security<br>Agencies                                       | A     |
| Illinois                | 28  | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Private Detective, Private<br>Alarm, Private Security,<br>Fingerprint Vendor, and<br>Locksmith Board | A     |
| New York                | 40 unarmed,<br>60 armed                     | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | New York State Department<br>of State, Division of Licensing<br>Services                             | A     |
| Oklahoma                | 40 unarmed,<br>72 armed                     | 8  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Council on Law Enforcement<br>Education and Training   | A     |
| Vermont                 | 40 unarmed,<br>additional 20<br>for armed   | 6 for armed                                  | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | State Board of Private<br>Investigative and Security<br>Services                                     | А     |
| Virginia                | 18 unarmed,<br>32 armed                     | 4 unarmed, 6<br>armed                        | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Private Security Services<br>Advisory Board  | A     |
| Delaware                | 16 unarmed,<br>40 armed                     | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Delaware Board of Examiners<br>of Private Investigators and<br>Private Security Agencies             | В     |
| Florida                 | 40  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Private Investigation,<br>Recovery, and Security<br>Advisory Council                                 | В     |
| Louisiana               | 16  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Louisiana State Board of<br>Private Security Examiners   | В     |
| Minnesota               | 12  | 6  | YES                              | YES                                 | NO                                  | Board of Private Detective<br>and Protective Agent Services  | В     |
| New Jersey              | 24  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | New Jersey State Police<br>Private Detective Unit  | В     |
| North<br>Carolina       | 20  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Private Protective Services<br>Board   | В     |

### 2010 Safe and Secure State Report Card continued

|                |  | Annual Refresher           | -                  | Requires                | Requires                |  |       |
|----------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------|
| State          | Initial Training Hrs<br>Required   | Training Hrs<br>Required   | Check<br>Required? | Licensing of<br>Company | Licensing of<br>Officer | Oversight Board Name   | Grade |
|                | nequireu   | nequirea                   | nequireur          | company                 |                         | Private Protective Services  | Grade |
| North Carolina | 20   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Board  | В     |
|                |  |                            |                    |                         |                         | Private Investigative and  |       |
| North Dakota   | 64   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Security Board   | В     |
| Oregon         | 12 unarmed, 24<br>armed  | 4                          | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Department of Public Safety<br>Standards and Training,<br>Private Security Policy<br>Committee     | В     |
| Utah           | 24 unarmed, 36<br>armed  | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | The Utah Division of<br>Occupational and Professional<br>Licensing                                 | В     |
| Washington     | 16   | 4                          | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Washington State Department<br>of Licensing  | В     |
| Arizona        | 8 unarmed, 16<br>armed   | 8 unarmed, 16<br>armed     | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Arizona Department of Public<br>Safety Licensing unit  | с     |
| Arkansas       | 6  | 4                          | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Arkansas Board of Private<br>Investigators and Private<br>Security Agencies                        | С     |
| Connecticut    | 8 for unarmed,<br>additional range<br>qualification for<br>armed   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Department of Public Safety,<br>Division of State Police,<br>Special Licenses and Firearms<br>unit | С     |
| New Mexico     | 8 unarmed, 20<br>armed   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Private Investigations Advisory<br>Board   | с     |
| South Carolina | 4 unarmed, 8 for<br>armed  | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | South Carolina Law<br>Enforcement Division   | с     |
| Tennessee      | 4 unarmed, 8 armed   | None for<br>unarmed, 4 for | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Tennessee Department of<br>Commerce and Insurance  | с     |
| Texas          | Level II (4 hours and<br>test) for unarmed,<br>Level III (30 hours<br>and test) for armed                      | 8                          | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Texas Department of Public<br>Safety, Private Security Bureau                                      | С     |
| Massachusetts  | Armed guards must<br>pass a proficiency  | None                       | NO                 | YES                     | NO                      | Massachusetts State Police<br>Certification Unit   | D     |
| Hawaii         | None   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Board of Private Detectives<br>and Guards  | D     |
| lowa           | None   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Iowa Department of Public<br>Safety  | D     |
| Maine          | None   | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Maine State Police,<br>Department of Public Safety   | D     |
| Maryland       | None for unarmed,<br>Armed must be<br>certified by<br>Maryland State<br>Police Certified<br>Handgun Instructor | None                       | YES                | YES                     | YES                     | Maryland State police,<br>Licensing Division   | D     |

### 2010 Safe and Secure State Report Card continued

| State            | Initial Training Hrs<br>Required  | Annual Refresher<br>Training Hrs<br>Required   | Background<br>Check<br>Required? | Requires<br>Licensing of<br>Company | Requires<br>Licensing of<br>Officer | Oversight Board Name  | Grade |
|------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------|
|                  |   |  |                                  |                                     |                                     | Department of Energy,   |       |
| Michigan         | None  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | NO                                  | Labor and Economic Growth   | D     |
|                  | None for unarmed,<br>armed needs to<br>complete training<br>with Montana POST                           | None for<br>unarmed, Armed<br>needs to recertify<br>with Montana<br>POST certified                           |                                  |                                     |                                     |   |       |
| Montana          | certified instructor  | instructor   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Board of Private Security   | D     |
| Nevada           | None  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Private Investigator's<br>Licensing Board                         | D     |
| New<br>Hampshire | None for unarmed,<br>Armed needs 4<br>hours training and<br>completion of<br>practical police<br>course | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Division of State Police,<br>Permits and Licensing Unit           | D     |
| Ohio             | None for unarmed,<br>Armed must<br>complete training<br>with Ohio POTC<br>approved school               | None for<br>unarmed, armed<br>must complete<br>requalification<br>program by Ohio<br>POTC approved<br>school | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Ohio Private Investigation<br>and Security Services<br>Commission | D     |
| Pennsylvania     | None for unarmed,<br>40 for armed   | None for<br>unarmed, 8 for   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Pennsylvania State Police   | D     |
|                  | 40 for united   |  | 125                              | 125                                 | 125                                 | Department of the Attorney  |       |
| Rhode Island     | None  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | General   | D     |
| Wisconsin        | None for unarmed,<br>36 for armed   | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | YES                                 | Wisconsin Department of<br>Regulation and Licensing               | D     |
| West Virginia    | None  | None   | YES                              | YES                                 | NO                                  | West Virginia Secretary of  | D     |
| Alabama          | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Colorado         | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Idaho            | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Indiana          | None  | None   | NO                               | YES                                 | NO                                  | Private Investigator and<br>Security Guard Licensing<br>Board     | F     |
| Kansas           | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Kentucky         | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Mississippi      | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Missouri         | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Nebraska         | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| South Dakota     | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |
| Wyoming          | None  | None   | NO                               | NO                                  | NO                                  | No Statewide Oversight  | F     |

The 2010 Safe and Secure State Report Card is report card is based on a state by state evaluation of laws regulating the security industry conducted by SEIU Local 1.

### **ENDNOTES**

- 1. US Department of Labor. (2010, May). Current Employment Statistics. Retrieved July 1, 2010, from Bureau of Labor Statistics: http://www.bls.gov/ces/
- 2. IBISWorld, Inc. (2009). IBISWorld Industry Report Security Services in the US. IBISWorld, Inc.
- 3. Freedonia Group, In. (2008). Freedonia Focus on World Security Services. Robert H. Perry & Associates, Incorporated. (2009). "White Paper" on the US Security Guard Market.
- 4. Zinkewicz, Phil. (2008, November) Protecting Security Guards. Rough Notes
- 5. Indiana Economic Development Corporation. (2010). 2010 Life Science Resource Guide. Indianapolis: Indiana Economic Development Corporation.
- 6. Efrati, A. (2010, March 17). Lilly Drugs Stolen in Warehouse Heist. Wall Street Journal.
- 7. Economic Policy Institute. (n.d.). Basic Family Budget Calculator. Retrieved July 01, 2010, from Economic Policy Institute: http://www.epi.org/content/budget\_calculator/
- 8. Check Stub for period ending 6/17/2010, security officer, Hewlett Packard.
- 9. The Freedonia Group, Inc. (2006). Industry Study 2029 Private Security Services. Cleveland: The Freedonia Group, Inc.
- 10. Goodboe, M. (2002). How to Turn Around Turnover. Security Management, 65.
- 11. Security Director's Report. (2009, August 1). Benchmark security staff costs to manage competing forces. Security Director's Report.
- 12. ibid
- 13. McNally, S. W. (2004, September 1). Turn Away Turnover. Security Magazine.
- 14. IBISWorld, Inc. (2009). IBISWorld Industry Report Security Services in the US. IBISWorld, Inc.
- 15. Margasak, L. (2007, May 30). Private Guards Weak Link in Homeland Security. Associated Press.
- 16. Parfomak, P. (2004). Guarding America: Security Guards and Critical Infrastructure. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.
- 17. State of Indiana. (n.d.). Indiana Professional Licensing Agency. Retrieved July 1, 2010, from http://www.in.gov/pla/
- 18. Jason Daugherty v Glen Holland, William Hagy, Myers Protective Services, Kingston Square Homes, 1:03-CV-0091 (US District Court, Southern District of Indiana, Indianapolis Division June 21, 2003).
- 19. Erdahl, K. (2010, April 15). Sheriff's department ends relationship with Washington Township schools. Retrieved from Fox 59 WXIN Indianapolis: www.fox59.com
- 20. Stacey Carie, Le C. Hook and Fred Miller v Securitas Security Services USA, 1:09-cv-00524 (US District Court, Southern District of Indiana, Indianapolis Division April 28, 2009).
- 21. ASIS Commission on Standards and Guidelines. (2010). ASIS Private Security Officer Selection and Training Guideline, 2010 Edition. ASIS International.
- 22. Zinkewicz, Phil. (2008, November) Protecting Security Guards. Rough Notes
- 23. Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy. (2006). Undertrained, Underpaid, and Underprepared. Los Angeles.
- 24 SEIU Local 32BJ. (2005). New York Safe and Secure: A Program For Protecting New York City.
- 25. Kaysen, Ronda. (2005 August) Do Downtown Guards Provide Enough Security? Downtown Express.
- 26. Thomas Berglund, Securitas: Presentation to CoESS, Madrid, October 14 2004, p. 8.
- 27. Vornado, Fisher Bros. join New York's war on terror. (2005, May 4). Real Estate Weekly.
- 28. ibid
- 29. ibid
- 30. Kansas City Police Department. (n.d.). *KCMO Police Department Private Officers Licensing Section*. Retrieved July 1, 2010, from http://www.kcmo.org/police/Permits/PrivateSecurityOfficers/index.htm
- 31. City of Colorado Springs. (2008, June 4). Notice of Ordinance Changes Pertaining to Private Security Services. Colorado Springs, CO: Office of the City Clerk.
- 32. City of Boston. (2010, February 24). Minutes, City Council of the City of Boston. Boston, MA: City Council.
- 33. California Department of Consumer Affairs. (n.d.). Bureau of Security and Investigative Services. Retrieved July 1, 2010, from http://www.bsis.ca.gov/







1028 S. Shelby Street Indianapolis, IN 46203 (317) 636-0563 www.seiu1.org www.standforsecurity.org/indianapolis